



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,977	04/28/2005	Lily Ka-Lai Cheng	120270.129854	3081
28440	7590	08/18/2009	EXAMINER	
WARNER, NORCROSS & JUDD			TORRES RUIZ, JOHALI ALEJANDRA	
IN RE: ALTICOR INC.				
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 LYON STREET, N. W. STE 900				2858
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503-2489				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,977	CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ	2858	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ. (3) Charles E. Burpee (29,776).
 (2) Richard V. Muralidhar. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: 8/12/2009.

Claim(s) discussed: 41 and 78-80.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sabo et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,803,744) and Matsuda et al. (U.S. Publication Number 2002/0018025).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that there was no teaching, suggestion or motivation to combine Sabo and Matsuda. In response to this argument it was stated that the references could not be combined because they were not analogous art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Johali A Torres Ruiz/ Examiner, Art Unit 2858	
---	--